



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/645,706	08/24/2000	Keith V. Wood	341.005US1	3329
21186	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			PROUTY, REBECCA E	
	LIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT ;	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1652	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/645,706	WOOD ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rebecca E. Prouty	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION IN	ON FOR ALLOWAN cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	NCE. ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more content of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the stat	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	11010 onto 110 monning auto 2. 1.0	ottori, oron ii amary mea,	Illay rouseo sary
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the control of the	•		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i	•	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	F 7 G
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		idered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			·
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9, 11, 12, 14,15, 18, 20, 21, .24-	39.41-45, 47, 60-63, 67 and 68.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 64.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		i
10. Other:		Rebecca E. Prouty Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652	int
		AIT OTHE, TOOK	,

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

<b>Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303</b>	)
09/645,706	

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new claims, particularly Claims 69 and 70 present new limitations which would require further search and consideration..

Application/Control Number: 09/645,706

Art Unit: 1652

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite in the recitation of "transcription regulatory sequences composition", "a reduced number of transcription factor binding sequences" and/or "a reduced number of intron splice sites, poly(A) addition sites and promoter sequences" is maintained. Applicants argue that the terms "transcription factor binding sequences", "intron splice sites", "poly(A) addition sites" and "promoter sequences" are conventional in the art. This is acknowledged. However, in the art these terms define a group of sequences related by function. The art does not define clearly what sequences are included in the group. Since applicants invention requires a skilled artisan to quantify the number of such sequences it is imperative that the artisan know explicitly what sequences are to be included and what sequences are not so one can in fact count them. While the art clearly defines some specific sequences which fall into each group (for example AAUAAA as a polyadenylation sequence) many other sequences may have the same function and not all such sequences are known and taught by the art.

The rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for lack of written description and lack of enablement are maintained. Applicants argue that the specification provides

Application/Control Number: 09/645,706

Art Unit: 1652

representative species of luciferases that have modifications relative to the wild type sequence. While it is acknowledged that the specification does provide examples of species which have modifications of the amino acid sequence from the wild type parent the few species disclosed are clearly not representative of the genus claimed. Contrary to applicants statement the effects of substitutions within a reporter protein is not predictable, and the specification fails to provide any structure to function correlation such that one could predict the effect of any amino acid substitution at any position in any reporter protein. As such the few disclosed species can not be considered to be representative of the enormous and diverse genus claimed and the scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided in the specification.

The rejection of Claims 1-9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 24-39, 41-45, 60-63 and 67-68 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Sherf et al. (US Patent 5,670,356) in view of Zolotukhin et al. (US Patent 5,874,304) and Iannacone et al. is maintained.

Applicants again argue against the rejection by piecemeal pointing out the deficiencies of each reference individually.

However, this is not convincing as it is what the combination of

Application/Control Number: 09/645,706

Art Unit: 1652

Page 4

references suggests that is cited. Although each reference discloses a different way to modify the coding sequence of a gene to increase expression, the skilled artisan clearly would be motivated to combine these different methods in order to achieve the benefits of all. One would clearly expect the combination to be better than each of the methods individually.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca Prouty, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (571) 272-0928. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Rebecca Prouty

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1652